THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

–

WRIT PETITION No.5968 of 2006

–

Dated:03.04.2006

–

–

Between:

M/s.Sai Sree Projects Pvt.Ltd.

…Petitioner

And

The Sub Registrar, Golconda, Redhills, Hyderabad, and another.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

–

WRIT PETITION No.5968 of 2006

–

ORDER:

–

The petitioner filed W.P.No.28221 of 2005 praying for the following relief.

> Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the 1st respondent in not releasing the registered sale deeds bearing document Nos.P/240/96 dated 29-3-1996, P/241/96 dated 29-3-1996, P/242/96, dated 29-3-1996, P/257/96 dated 04-4-1996, P/288/96 dated 15-4-1996, P/289/96 dated 15-4-1996, P/290 /96 dated 15-4-1996, P/291/96 dated 15-4-1996, P/341/96 dated 27-4-1996, P/342/96 dated 27-4-1996, P/349/96 dated 26-4-1996 and P/350/96 dated 26-4-1996 respectively, in spite of completing the registration, further action of the 2nd respondent in not directing the 1st respondent to release the said documents in spite of repeated requests made by the petitioner, as arbitrary, illegal, failure to exercise power vested under Part XI of the Registration Act, 1908, read with the Rules framed under Section 69 of the Registration Act, 1908, apart from being violative of the Fundamental Right guaranteed under Article 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith release the aforesaid registered sale deeds.

By an order dated 31.01.2006, this Court disposed of the above writ petition directing the respondents to consider the representations made by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders for release of the documents after registration within three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. The petitioner alleges that though it has approached the respondents for release of the documents as per the orders of this Court, dated 31.01.2006, the documents are not released. Therefore, it filed the present Writ Petition.

As seen from the above, the petitioner already filed a writ petition, and the same is disposed of. Such being the case, this Writ Petition, which is filed by way of seeking implementation of the earlier writ petition, is not maintainable. The petitioner has to avail appropriate remedy, in case, it feels that the orders of this Court are disobeyed.

The Writ Petition is therefore, dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

03.04.2006

vs